REMARKS

The Examiner has rejected claims 2-3, 12-14, 16-18, 20-22, 24-26, 28-30, 32 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the Examiner has advised that "the bonding between the Nitrogen atom at the center with carbon atoms are hydrogen bonding in the use of -- bond" whereas "It should be covalent bond." Further, the Examiner has stated that "in formulas (d) and (e) the nitrogen atom requires three covalent bonds and the formulas disclose two hydrogen bonds. The formula should be amended in proper forms."

Applicant has amended the claims accordingly. These amendments are not believed to add new matter since one skilled in the art would appreciate that the corrections are as to "form" and do not change the intended and understood substance of the subject matter of the claims. Because of the difficulty in showing changes to the formulas with mark-up, since such changes might be misinterpreted as part of the formula to those unskilled in the art, Applicant has included a "clean" form of the claims, with the "mark-ups" removed.

The Examiner has rejected claim 35 as anticipated by CA 2,285,308. Applicant has deleted this claim, mooting this rejection.

The claims as amended are now believed in condition for allowance and the Examiner is requested to allow the application to proceed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 20, 2005

Karen B. Tripp, Reg. No. 30,452

Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1301

Houston, Texas 77251-1301

(713) 658-9323 phone

(713) 658-9410 fax

ktripp@tripplaw.com e-mail

c: Frank Dean